

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/098,697	03/15/2002	Royce D. Jordan JR.	010565	5132	
38823	7590 07/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/			RIMELL, S	RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
BELLSOUTH 100 GALLER	I.P. CORP IA PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1750			2165		
ATLANTA, GA 30339			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· ·	10/098,697	JORDAN, ROYCE D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Sam Rimell	2165				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-6,8,9,11-14,16,17 and 30-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-14, 16-17, 30-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	ı	Mull				
Amarkanauta	•	SAM RIMELL				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T l-+ : 0	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/098,697

Art Unit: 2165

<u>Preliminary Note:</u> This office action is made non-final.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-14, 16-17 and 30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(Claim 30 is the independent claim so it is addressed first).

<u>Claim 30:</u> The phrases "the wireless network" and "the external network" lack antecedent basis.

<u>Claim 5:</u> The phrase "the address book" lacks antecedent basis.

<u>Claim 11:</u> The phrases "the address book" and "The Internet" lack antecedent basis.

<u>Claim 12:</u> The phrase "the address book" lacks antecedent basis.

<u>Claim 13:</u> The phrase "the entry" lacks antecedent basis.

<u>Claim 35:</u> The recitation of an external network is redundant with the features already recited in claim 30.

<u>Claim 37:</u> The phrase "the transmitting device" lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8-9, 14, 16, 17, 31-34, 36, 38-39: These claims are rejected only for their dependence on rejected claim 30.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/098,697

Art Unit: 2165

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-14, 16-17 and 30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gropper (US Pre Grant Publication 2002/0049610).

(Claim 30 is the independent claim and will be addressed first).

Claim 30: FIG. 1A of Gropper discloses a client computer (100). As seen in FIGS. 1C-1D, the client computer system is a communications system and includes a message storage device (104) and a user database an address book (170). As seen in the architecture of FIG. 1A, the client device (100) can also be a gateway device because it can transmit data between the external network (120) and the supplemental client computer (115). All of the network connections can be wireless in nature See FIG. 1D which allows the client devices to be wireless.

<u>Claim 2:</u> The client computer includes an address book with contact information, including names, mailing addresses and e-mail addresses (paragraph 0093).

<u>Claim 4:</u> As seen in FIG. 1F, the user database (address book) has a set of distinct records which are readable as a directory.

<u>Claim 5:</u> The address book can be stored in the client computer RAM (110 in FIG. 1B) or an external storage system (104 in FIG. 1D).

<u>Claim 6:</u> Paragraph 0149 describes the wireless client computers as either wireless PDAs or wireless telephones, either of which are readable as pagers.

<u>Claim 8:</u> The external network may be the Internet (paragraph 0149).

<u>Claim 9:</u> Address modification are made on the wireless client devices and may be further directed to another server (paragraph 0120-0121).

Claim 11: Modification are made via the Internet (paragraph 0149).

Art Unit: 2165

<u>Claim 12:</u> The address is located on the wireless device, so modifications are inherently made via the wireless device.

Claim 13: Modifying the address book may include changing an entry (paragraph 0122).

<u>Claim 14:</u> The user's status can be checked and accepted or blocked at the original client's address book, thus verifying status before permitting any attempt to change the address book (paragraphs 0094-0095).

Claim 16: See remarks for claim 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,697

Claim 17: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 31: In FIG. 1F, the address book interface (160) is readable as the protocol handler.

<u>Claim 32</u>: As seen in FIG. 1F, the communications manager (154) is the router between the client computer and external networks.

<u>Claim 33:</u> As seen in FIG. 1G, the arrows define the communication network within the client system.

<u>Claim 34:</u> The communications system (100) in FIG. 1A may communicate with another wireless device, such as supplemental computer (115) that may be a wireless platform (FIG. 1D).

Claim 35: FIG. 1A illustrates external network (120).

Claim 36: The client computing devices may operate wirelessly (FIG. 1D).

<u>Claim 37:</u> As seen in FIG. 1A, the communications system (100) may be a transmitting device which transmits to secondary computer device (115).

<u>Claim 38:</u> In FIG. 1F, the client computer can determine properties associated with address book content, such as "existing content" or "updated content".

Application/Control Number: 10/098,697

Art Unit: 2165

Claim 39: In FIG. 1F, the address book is illustrated as having updated content and the

Page 5

software controlling the address book is able to update the content of the address book.

Remarks

This office action is made in response to the interview of 11/1/04 and appears to be a

bona fide effort to advance prosecution to overcome the reference to Gropper. Accordingly, this

office action is made non-final.

Upon reconsideration of the particular claims at issue and the Gropper reference,

examiner maintains that the Gropper reference is still applicable to the claims. The detailed

explanation for this application is set forth above, particularly with reference to claim 30.

The new grounds of rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph are necessitated by

the introduction of new claim 30.

This action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at

telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2165